

Draft Environmental Review Summary

PART 1. PROJECT DESCRIPTION													
WIN	SR (WIN)	OTHER SR(S	S)? RE			COUNTY				RECORD CREATED	DATE F	ORM REVISED	
U40561B	405			NWR		King				11/03/2005	11/	15/2004	
TOWNSHIP													
840561A Title (WIN): I-405/SR 520 to SR 522, Stage 2 Type of Work: Interim Improvements					RANGE								
BEGIN (WIN) END (WIN) CENTERLINE LENGTH						WITHIN PUGET WATER RESOURCE INVENTORY AREA (WRIA) NO. & NAME							
	KP	K	(P		ΚN	l		SOUND BASIN?					
	MP	N	/IP		Miles	S	_	Yes					
PART 2. PERI	MITS AND A	APPROVA	ALS R	REQUIRED									
Permit or Approval						Permit or Approval							
● Yes ○ No	Corps o	f Engine	ers	Section			● Yes ○ No Nat. Pollutant Discharge Elimination System						
		Tuno:	_ Indi	☐ Section vidual ☐		onwide	(NPDES) Baseline General for Construction						
1		Type:	_ iriui	viduai	ivalic	JIIWIU C	☐ Temporary Erosion Sediment Control Plan (TESC)						
Individual Permit #: Nationwide Type:						◯ Yes No Shoreline Permit							
◯ Yes ● No	Coast G	Guard					○ Yes						
○ Yes • No						○ Yes No ○ No ○ Temporary Modification of Water Quality ○ (TWQM) standards							
Yes ○ No						\Box	○ Yes No Tribal Permit(s) (Any)						
○ Yes • No					○ Yes No Section 4(f)/6(f): Wildlife Refuges, Recreation Areas, Historic Properties								
○ Yes ● No	<u> </u>					○ Yes ● No Water Use Permit							
Yes						● Yes ○ No Water quality certification — Sec. 401 Issued By:							
● Yes ○ No	○ No Local Building or Site Development Permits				Other Permits Other Permits Ist: Endangered Species Act Consultation Temporaty Air Pollution Noise Permits								
◯ Yes • No	Yes No Local Clearing & Grading Permits												
Yes ○ No	Yes O No Nat. Historic Preservation Act - Section 106						TVOISE T CITINGS						
● Yes ○ No	(NPDES	S) Munici	pal S	Stormwater	Disc	harge							
PART 3. ENVI	RONMENT		SIFIC	ATION						0504			
		NEPA					SEPA						
☐ Categorically excluded per 23 CFR 771.117())	☐ Categorically exempt per WAC 197-11-800							
☐ Documented CE (DCE)					□ Determination of Non-Significance (DNS)								
					Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)								
☐ Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)					☐ Other Action ☐ Adoption								
☐ Supplemental EIS (SEIS)						☐ Addendum							
							L						
APPROVAL SIGNATURES REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGER DATE					REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONTACT								
						Christina N	Martin	ez,					
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION (FOR ECS USE ONLY) DATE						C	COMPLETED BY						
						Christina Martinez							
						Telephone: (425) 456-8526 FAX: (425) 456-8600							
							I	. 5.56110110	· (¬-23)	120 0220	(120) 730-00	,,,,

Draft Environmental Review Summary (continued)

ART	7 4. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS				WIN U40561B			
Will the project involve work in or affect any of the following? Identify proposed mitigation. Attach additional pages or supplemental information if necessary.								
1.	Air Quality Identify any anticipated air quality	issues.	dad in Matra	opolitan Transportation Plan?	● Yes ○ No			
	Located in an Air Quality Non-A				○ Yes ● No			
	Located III all All Quality Non-A			lity conformity requirements?	○ Yes ● No			
	Based on Air quality modeling conducted for the pro-	•						
	are expected.	Heat, including in	ot spot anarys	sis at four intersections, no an qu	lanty exceedances			
	are expected.							
2.	Critical/Sensitive Areas Identify any known Ci	itical or Sensitiv	re Areas as i	designated by local				
	Growth Management Act ordinances.	Thousands designify	c / licus us l	designated by lood.				
	a. Aquifer Recharge Area, Wellhead Protection	n Area, or Sole S	Source Aqui	ifer				
	The Kirkland Well Field, operated by KC Water	District No.1 is 1	located appro	ox. 3000 ft. downgradient from the	ne project. I-405			
	crosses the wellhead protection area. Stormwater discharge from the project will be routed around the wellhead protection area to avoid impacts.							
	b. Geologically Hazardous Area							
	A landslide hazard area is located near the north	ern terminus of th	ne project, jus	st south of the SR 522 interchang	ge. An ancient			
	landslide slope has been identified at this location	n that will be stab	oilized by cor	nstructing a retaining wall on the	west side of I-405.			
	Haliffer Halland and San							
	c. Habitat List known species.	aritu Habitat ar C	Pagina Ind	licata atata ar fadaral liatina				
	(1) Threatened/Endangered Species or Price		·		G 1 1 G			
	Chinook - Federal Threatened, Bull Trout - Priority, Resident Cutthroat - State Priority	Federal Threaten	ed, Bald Eag	le - Federal & State Threatened,	Coho salmon - State			
	(2) General fish and wildlife habitat							
	Chinook, Coho							
	Landscaping, plants, Douglas fir, pine, rude	ral vegetation.						
	d. Wetlands. Estimate impacted categories an	d aaraaga		Are wetlands present?	Yes			
	Estimated Acres Impacted: 0 Acre	-		Are wellands present:	e res O NO			
	Wetlands Estimate impacted categories and acre		eres Impacted	l:				
		•	othell	Total				
	Temporary 0.050 0.03)99	0.180				
	Permanent 1.229 0.23	5 0.1	136	1.600				
				ological resources.				
	An archaeological survey of the project area and res	earch into archae	ological and/	or historic features was coducted	I for the project. No			
	resources were identified in the project area.							
4.	Flood Plains or Ways	Is the	project locat	ted in a 100-year flood plain?	◯ Yes No			
	1 lood I lamb of Ways			ated in a 100-year floodway?	○ Yes ● No			
		-		npact a 100-year flood plain?	○ Yes ● No			
5	Hazardous and Problem Waste		1 -32	,				
	Identify potential sources and type.		Is project li	kely to involve site clean-up?	○ Yes No			
	A records search of Environmental Data Resources,	Inc., and the Dep	artment of E	cology files was conducted along	g with a windshield			
	survey of recorded sites in the vicinity of the project. No sites were identified within the project area.							
	Noise Identify potential sensitive receptors o				1			
	No previous commitments were identified. On the bloostions and relocated to the edge of P/W at 4 other		deling along t	the project area, noise walls will	be constructed at 6			
	locations and relocated to the edge of R/W at 4 othe	i iocations.						

PPSC: REVISED 3/98 (MJC)

DATE PRINTED: 12/5/2005 - PAGE 2 OF 3

PART	7 4. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS (CONTINUED)	WIN U40561B
	Parks, Recreation Areas, Wildlife Refuges, Historic Properties, or Scenic Rivers/Byways, 4(f)/6(f)	Lands
	Identify areas of impact.	
	None	
8.	Resource Lands Identify areas of impact. a. Agricultural	
	None	
	b. Forest/Timber	
	None	
	c. Mineral	
	None	
<u> </u>	Rivers, Streams (continuous, intermittent), or Tidal Waters	
9.	a. Identify by name, proximity to project, and Washington Fisheries WA Stream No.	
	Stream Catalog Number. Ecology 305b Report No.	
	b. Identify stream crossing structures by type	
	(1) Juanita Creek/ WA69TP/ 0230,0235, 0238, 0241/ Culverts under I-405 (2) Forbes Creek/ Box (2) Forbes Creek/ Box (3) Forbes Creek/ Box (4) Forbes Creek/ Box (5) Forbes Creek/ Box (6) Forbes Creek/	
	Culverts under I-405 (3) Yarrow Creek/ IE91MG/ 0252/ Culverts under I-405 (4) Sammamish Ri More than 200 feet from the nearest project feature, a stormwater treatment and detention pond	ver/ CA16HI/ 0057/
10	Tribal Lands Identify.	
	None	
	Visual Quality Will project impact roadside classification or visual aspects?	○ Yes No
	A visual quality assessment was conducted for the project. Since almost all of the project will take place within the of way, views to and from the project will not be substantially altered. WSDOT is working with an advisory common control of the project will not be substantially altered.	
	of Kirkland to apply context sensitive solutions to maintain or enhance visual quality at some locations.	muce from the City
12.	Water Quality/Storm Water	● Yes ○ No
	Will project include water quality/quantity treatment for the new pavement?	Yes ○ No
	Will project include water quality/quantity treatment for existing pavement?	Yes ○ No
	Has a NPDES municipal general permit been issued for this WRIA?	○ Yes No
	Water quality and stormwater management features are being designed to WSDOT's Highway Runoff Manual (20	
	enhance water quality treatment for approx 381 % of new impervious surfaces created by the project. Stormwater	* *
	of new pavement areas will be detained and released slowly, keeping flow rates at levels similar to predevelopment	
	Have previous environmental commitments been made in project area? Identify. Yes, the I-405 Corridor Program EIS and Record of Decision both contain project commitments.	Yes
	res, the 1-403 Corridor Frogram Ers and Record of Decision both Contain project Committinents.	
1/	Are long torm maintenance commitments necessary for this project?	Ø Vaa ○ Na
	Are long-term maintenance commitments necessary for this project? Identify. Yes, committments will include maintenance of roadway surfaces, roadside vegetation, ecology enbankments, and	Yes No
	detention facilities. In addition, maintenance of the Forbes Creek Fish Passage and Fishway.	Stormwater